





PUBLIC MEETING

Utah Committee of Consumer Services

Utah Department of Commerce June 12, 2008







Welcome & Business







Public Comment







Questar Gas Co. Rate Case Rate Design

Eric Orton





Update: Return on Equity/Rate of Return

• Hearings were held with all three expert witnesses, as well as some policy witnesses.

CCS Recommendation 9%

DPU Recommendation 9.25%

QGC Recommendation 11.25%

• Commission decision will be incorporated in revenue requirement order.







Update: Revenue Requirement

- Committee's filed position: \$ 0.1 M
- Questar provided ongoing discovery updates
 - Confirmed feeder line program
 - Provided additional information regarding capital investments (approx. \$ 0.2M)
 - Provided explanation regarding integrity management accounting issue (\$ 3.5 M)
 - Provided additional background explaining what appeared to be promotional costs (\$ 0.6 M)
- Committee's new position: approx. \$ 4.4 M







Revenue Requirement Update, p. 2

- Less than \$4M separated all parties after prefiled testimony and updated discovery
- In settlement discussions we:
 - Altered some of our adjustments based on more complete information provided by the company
 - Negotiated some dollar issues by compromise
 - Delayed some issues to the future
- Revenue Requirement Agreement: \$5.9 M (based on our recommended 9.0% ROE, will be adjusted to reflect Commission ordered ROE)







Primary COS Issues for Committee Investigation

- New Split of Residential and Commercial GS rate schedules
- NGV (natural gas vehicle) potential subsidy
- Bypass threat
- Accuracy of survey used in Cost of Service study
- Declining block rate
- Line extension
- Winter/Summer Differential







Rocky Mountain Power Rate Case

Cheryl Murray

Dan Gimble







Rocky Mountain Power Rate Case Revenue Requirement

Cheryl Murray





Rocky Mountain Power Rate Case

- Case as originally filed requested an increase in revenue requirement of \$161.2M
- RMP supplemented its filing based on the PSC ordered 2008 calendar year.
- Supplemental filing requested a revenue requirement increase of \$99.8M which incorporated:
 - Change in TY (\$40.4)
 - Change in depreciation rates (\$13.0)
 - Deferred accounting orders (\$8.0)







Return on Equity

- RMP requested 10.75% ROE
- DPU recommended 10.1% ROE (\$21.6M)
- CCS recommended 9.85% ROE (\$31.0M)
- Ball recommended (\$27.6) reduction to revenue requirement
- Cost of Long Term Debt Adjustment
 - RMP @ 6.30%
 - DPU @ 6.28% (\$0.4)
 - CCS @ 6.27% (\$0.6)







ROE Hearing

- The hearing on ROE was held on May 20
- PSC will include its decision on ROE in its order on revenue requirement







Party Positions on Rev Requirement

- Positions of parties other than RMP in Direct Testimony
 - DPU recommended a \$46M increase
 - CCS recommended a \$15.1M increase
 - Other intervenors recommended selected adjustments
- Company accepted some adjustments in its rebuttal testimony resulting in a new Company revenue requirement request of \$84.5M





RMP Rate Case Hearing

- Parties waived cross examination of a number of witnesses. Hearing dates were reduced to June 2 – 5, eliminating 3 days.
- Public Witness Day was June 5. No members of the public appeared to testify.







Recommended Revenue Requirement

- Recommended Revenue Requirement Positions following the hearings:
 - RMP \$74.5 (\$9.7M error in filing)
 - DPU \$42.2
 - CCS \$ 6.4
- Other adjustments:
 - UAE (\$4.2)
 - UIEC (\$0.6)
 - Ball (\$31.1)







Next Steps

- New rates to be effective August 8, 2008
- PSC will determine how rates are to be spread among the classes in the period prior to the determination of cost of service and rate design.







Rocky Mountain Power Rate Case Rate Spread and Rate Design

Dan Gimble



Background

- RMP's modified rate increase request—\$74.5M or 5.6% average rate increase
- Rate Spread: Allocation of revenue requirement increase ordered by the PSC among customer classes (residential, large commercial, industrial, etc.)
- Rate Design: Once rate spread is determined, revenue associated w/individual classes needs to be collected through the various rate components (demand, energy, customer charges) on customers' bills.





Ratemaking Principles

- <u>Cost Causation:</u> Rates for individual classes should reflect cost-of-service to send appropriate price signals to customers regarding their use of electricity.
- <u>Fairness</u>: Rate increases to classes, or segments within a class, should be fair such that subsidies are either minimized or eliminated.
- <u>Gradualism</u>: Rate analysts strive to moderate substantial, one-time rate impacts on a single customer class, or a segment of customers within a class.





Ratemaking Principles (continued)

- Conservation: Energy conservation is an increasingly important rate design goal to encourage customers to use energy wisely.
- Revenue Collection: The rate spread and rate design should (a) provide the utility with an opportunity to collect the overall revenue requirement authorized by the PSC and (b) avoid over- or under-collection of revenues from individual classes.





RMP's Rate Spread Proposal

• RMP proposes an equal % increase of 5.8% for all major classes, except lg. commercial and irrigators.

-- Schs. 1, 23, 8, 9: 5.8% rate increase

-- Sch. 6: 4.6% rate increase

-- Sch. 10: 11.2% rate increase

*RMP's spread proposal is based on a comparison of individual classes' returns to a "four percentage points band" above and below the jurisdictional avg. return.







Cost Of Service Study Issues

- CCS consultants have identified issues w/RMP's COS study that need to be addressed before relying on it for cost allocation purposes.
 - An excessive amount of generation plant and purchased power costs are classified as demand, rather than energy, which shifts costs from large to small customers
 - It appears that too much revenue from firm off-system sales is allocated to the larger classes.
 - Diversity of load on the distribution system appears understated, which results in excessive distribution costs borne by smaller classes.







CCS Staff Rate Spread Proposals

- CCS staff is considering two types of rate spread proposals
 - Proposal A: Support an equal percentage increase for all major classes at the average level, until the cost of service study is updated and corrected.
 - Proposal B: Propose specific percentage increases for each major class, based somewhat upon the cost of service study, but also incorporating other ratemaking principles.







RMP's Residential Rate Design Proposal

- Increase monthly customer charge from \$2 to \$4.
- Implement new customer load charge (CLC) of \$6/mo. for 12 continuous months for customers whose usage exceeds 1,000 kWh in at least two summer months.
 - The proposal also envisions assessing this charge as soon as rates are effective, based on usage this summer (2008).
- Change the current summer blocking to a two-part rate with a greater differential between summer and winter rates.
 - The breakpoint between blocks would be at 1,000 kWh
 - The flat (single) winter rate would stay at current level.





RMP's Rationale for Rate Design

- Lessens risk of fixed cost recovery through the energy rate.
 - Customer charge increase + CLC results in fivefold (\$2 to \$10) increase in fixed charges for high use customers.
- CLC provides clearer, more persistent price signals to customers with relatively high summer use.
- Dan Jones 2007 telephone survey indicates existing three-tiered, summer energy rate structure is not understood by customers and does not materially impact usage decisions.







CCS Staff's Concerns

- Intra-class fairness for residential customers
 - Low use residential customers would incur much greater percentage increases in bills than high use residential customers.
- Does not appear to promote conservation
 - An inclining block energy rate structure, rather than a fixed demand charge (CLC), is typically used to send price signals to customers that peak period usage is more expensive to serve.
 - Additional education may be warranted, rather than abandoning good rate design
- Retroactive application of the CLC to past summer usage is problematic.
- Insufficient cost-based supporting evidence for either CLC or tailblock rate.







CCS Staff's Rate Design Issues

- Examine appropriate level of customer charge
- Retain the existing inverted block summer energy rate structure and use marginal cost analysis to place more cost responsibility on the last two blocks
- Examine better mechanisms for customer education on rate design







Committee Discussion and Next Steps

- Discussion
- Next Steps
 - Draft Testimony from consultant Paul Chernick (Resource Insight) is due early next week.
 - COS Policy and Rate Design Testimony is being drafted by Dan Gimble.
 - Direct Testimony is due July 21, 2008, with hearings in early October 2008.







Rocky Mountain Power's Request for Waiver from RFP And Approval of Acquisition of New Generating Plant

Michele Beck







Resource Acquisition

Background

- RMP requested waiver of RFP for the acquisition of a new generating plant
 - Time limited commercial opportunity
 - No parties opposed waiver of RFP
 - Commission issued order granting waiver
- RMP did not request waiver of approval process
 - Committee raised legal argument
 - Other parties have policy concerns re: process
 - Commission did not rule on our argument, so process is moving forward







Resource Acquisition

Issues relating to approval

- Analysis for approval will review:
 - Prudency of acquiring this specific resource
 - Dollar amount and timing for inclusion of associated costs in rates
- Committee staff, with consultant input, in process of evaluating issues
- Primary concern: tight timeline and difficulty obtaining sufficient information for review

Note: Details re: plant and supporting evidence are confidential and subject to terms of a protective order.







Eric Orton







Proposed Rule

- Utah Rural Telecom (URTA) filed a proposed rule with the Commission
- Their proposal was to have all companies rate of return based on a hypothetical Capital Structure of 60% Equity and 40% Debt







Background

- In past, treatment of capital structure has been inconsistent – sometimes using actual and sometimes using a hypothetical
- Debt to equity ratios for small telecoms vary dramatically based mainly on those companies who purchased Qwest exchanges, and/or have growing areas.
- URTA was seeking "equitable consistency" in their proposed rule
- DPU has led a series of meetings with interested parties







Current Revised Proposal

- Use actual debt-equity ratio, when equity percentage is between 35 and 65
- Use 35% equity as a proxy, when equity is equal to or less than 35%
 - Provides extra capital and incentive for low-equity companies to invest in themselves
- Use 65% equity as a proxy, when equity is equal to or greater than 65%
 - Provides incentives for debt-equity ratios that result in lower rates
- Remaining issues under discussion:
 - Review and/or expiration period for using proxy on low-equity companies







Telecom Capital Structure

Recommended Committee Position

- At first, staff concerned about motives behind proposed rulemaking
 - Participation in process has been good to aid our understanding and to start to build bridges with telecom participants
- Staff supports the agreement as it is emerging
- Staff supports DPU in pursuing the conditions on use of proxy for low-equity companies







WECC Overview

Nancy Kelly







Presentation Overview

- WECC: <u>The</u> Western Transmission Organization
- WECC Background, Governance, and Organizational Structure
- Drivers of Change
- Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications for Utah Customers







The Western Transmission Organization

- Current Functions
 - Reliability;
 - Interface between Reliability and Commercial Aspects of Operations;
 - Standards Development, Compliance and Enforcement;
 - Data, Analysis, Resource Assessment;
 - West-wide Transmission Expansion Planning;
 - Home for the Western Regional Energy Generation Information System (WREGIS).







Background

- Voluntary Membership Organization
- Formed in April 2002
 - Merger of WSCC and two of the three
 Regional Transmission Associations (RTAs)
- Geographic Scope
 - 1.8 million square miles
 - Canada to Mexico
 - All or part of 14 States in between







Background

- Mission:
 - Maintain a reliable electric power system that supports efficient competitive power markets;
 - Assure open and non-discriminatory transmission access among members and provide a forum for resolving transmission access disputes between members.







Governance

- Six Member Classes with Full Voting Rights
 - Class 1: Large transmission owning utilities
 - Class 2: Small transmission owning utilities
 - Class 3: Marketers
 - Class 4: End users and environmental groups
 - Class 5: Regulatory representatives
 - Class 6: Canadian representatives
- Class Seven: Members at Large (do not elect representatives to the Board)







Governance

- Thirty-two Member Board of Directors
 - Four representatives from each voting member class (Classes1through 6)
 - One representative from Mexico
 - Seven non-affiliated directors







<u>Organizational Structure</u>

- Five Board Committees
 - Reliability Policy Issues Committee
 - Governance and Nominating Committee
 - Finance and Audit Committee
 - Human Resources and Compensation
 Committee
 - Transmission Expansion Planning Policy
 Committee







Organizational Structure

- Four Functional Areas Reporting Directly to the Board of Directors
 - Reliability Coordination Committee
 - Operating Transfer Capability Policy
 Committee
 - Western Renewable Energy Generation
 Information System
 - Compliance Hearing Body







Organizational Structure

- Three Standing Committees:
 - Operating Committee;
 - Planning and Coordination;
 - Market Interface.
- Each Standing Committee has numerous Subcommittees, Taskforces and Working Groups.
- The work of the Standing Committees is approved by the Board of Directors.







Drivers of Change

- Industry Restructuring
 - Open Access
 - Increased the tension between reliability and commercial concerns
 - Created a new group of players with a different culture and different incentives
 - Altered utility planning
 - The three RTOs initially anticipated in the West did not form







Drivers of Change

- Energy Policy Act of 2005
 - Mandatory Standards Setting,
 Compliance and Enforcement
 - DOE Transmission Congestion Studies and National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor designation
- Environmental Policy
 - State Renewable Portfolio Standards
 - Carbon Emissions Limitations







Major Initiatives, Issues and Implications

WECC Five-Year Strategic Initiative

- Objectives
 - Maintain the reliability and protection of the bulk electric power system
 - Monitor and enforce compliance
 - Be the credible source of interconnection-wide information
 - Become the interconnection wide planning facilitator
 - Become an interconnection-wide policy facilitator







Major Initiatives, Issues and Implications

Real-time Reliability

- Reliability Coordination Strategic Initiative
 - Consolidate control centers
 - Improve tools
- West Wide System Model
 - Bus level detail of western interconnection for use in real time situational awareness and system studies by Reliability coordinators and member utilities
- Western Interchange Tool
 - Automates commercial functions
 - Tracks reserves







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications Real-time Reliability

- Implications for Utah Customers
 - Common tools and improved situational awareness reduces the potential for cascading outages.
 - Customers benefit.







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications

Improve/Expand Data, Analysis, Tools

- Data Collection
- Resource Assessment
- RPS Implementation
- Transmission Expansion Planning
 - Facilitate west-wide planning
 - Support DOE congestion studies
 - Support members in complying with Order 890 planning requirements







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications Improve/Expand Data, Analysis, Tools

- Implications for Utah Customers
 - Solid data and sound analysis provide, utilities, customer advocates and policy makers an essential component for good decision making.
 - Customers benefit.







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications

Operating Reserves

- Contingency Reserves (amount of reserve)
 - NERC requirement: most severe single contingency;
 - WECC requirements more stringent;
 - New players interpreted WECC requirements differently from vertically integrated utilities;
 - Whether adequate reserve was actually being carried became a concern;
 - New Regional Criteria approved by Board in April;
 - Awaiting approval by FERC.







Major Initiatives, Issues and Implications

Operating Reserves

- Frequency Responsive Reserve (quality of reserve)
 - The frequency at which the system frequency stops declining following a disturbance has been eroding over time
 - Generation controls on combined-cycle units and generation loading are contributors
 - In the west, hydro facilities respond more quickly to a frequency decline but are not compensated leading to perceived inequities







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications Operating Reserves

- Frequency Responsive Reserve (continued)
 - In addition, some market participants believe WECC standards require holding too much reserve at too high of a cost.
 - To address reliability, equity, and cost concerns,
 WECC is exploring a Frequency Responsive Reserve
 Requirement (FRR).
 - Each balancing authority would have to contribute to arrest the frequency dip following a disturbance in an allotted time.







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications

Operating Reserves

- Frequency Responsive Reserve (continued)
 - An FRR would shift costs between geographic regions.
 - WECC is currently conducting a pilot.
 - A drafting team is being formed to develop an FRR standard to be posted for review in September.
 - If the process remained on schedule it could be before the Board in April 09.
 - I expect numerous delays.







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications

Operating Reserves

- Implications for Utah Customers
 - The tension between reliability and commercial concerns is likely to intensify as energy costs climb.
 - Customer representatives must remain diligent to assure that changes to reliability standards achieve improved reliability and/or equity, and do not simply shift costs from producers to consumers or from one groups of consumers to another.







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications

Budget

- WECC Budget is exploding as organization grows
 - Budget roughly \$12 million in 2003
 - Budget projected to approach \$50 million by 2013
- Primary drivers
 - Reliability Centers
 - EPACT 2005
 - Compliance and Enforcement
 - NERC dues







Major Initiatives, Issues, and Implications

Budget

- Implication for Utah customers
 - The rapid growth of WECC as an organization is more of a concern from an administrative perspective than from a cost perspective
 - Rate impact to Utah customers is small; costs are spread across total western load
 - Benefits exceed costs







Conclusion

- Sweat equity is the WECC currency.
- Participation in WECC forums can produce positive outcomes for customers that exceed the time and resources expended.







Rocky Mountain Power's Request for Transmission Certificate of Convenience and Necessity

Michele Beck





Trans CCN

Introduction

- RMP filed a request for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for a new transmission line from a substation by the airport up through northern Utah into southern Idaho
- The CCN process at the Commission solely considers the need for the facility
- Moderate to significant opposition exists from local citizens and governmental units in Box Elder County regarding the siting of this line
- Commission made very clear in its scheduling order that it has no authority regarding siting





Trans CCN

Analytical Issues

- The Committee will focus its efforts solely on the need for the line, consistent with the authority of the Commission in this proceeding
 - Rules and statutes outlining what constitutes need are very thin
- The Committee will also assist individual consumers in understanding and navigating the Commission process, consistent with our other statutory duty







Michele Beck







Questar Pass-Through

- Questar filed new pass-through case June 5
 - Proposes 40% total increase in two steps
 - Questar also pursuing significant consumer education
- Market forces driving prices
 - National market up
 - Regional market advantage disappearing
- Staff will review filing
 - Continue working on gas cost management with Company (i.e. Wexpro, hedging policies)
 - Continue work on helping consumers manage their costs (i.e. conservation)







Telecom Rate Cases

- Cedar Valley rate case underway
- Three new cases filed
 - Manti telecom
 - Carbon/Emery Telcom
 - Couth Central Telecom
- Proposed new Committee approach
 - Review DPU analysis and audit
 - Choose certain public interest issues to monitor
 - Submit policy statements







Rocky Mountain Power IRP

- IRP Update recently submitted
- Ongoing stakeholder meetings have addressed individual issues and updated Company approach
 - Business plan/IRP consistency
 - Forecasting
 - Treatment of CO2 costs







QGC Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) & Guidelines

- Questar IRP Guidelines
 - Commission held technical conference for input then issued Order with draft new guidelines
 - Many concepts advanced by the Committee last year were incorporated in draft order
 - Staff provided additional input and comment to draft order
- Questar filed this year's IRP under existing guidelines and staff has begun analysis







Western Climate Initiative (WCI)

- Staff participated in recent WCI and Utah updates
- Policies are in development defining goals and parameters of cap and trade program that will significantly impact Utah consumers
 - Target: Fall 2008
- Staff's primary concern is that the policy development is occurring before the economic modeling is complete
 - No measure of economic impact
- Staff will continue to monitor and seek Utah forums to give input







Committee Policies & Procedures

- Process has been delayed by workload and staffing issues
- Committee members to date have provided little input on topics, no input regarding details
- Committee preference?
 - Interactive process with significant input from Committee
 - Staff provide draft policies for Committee edits and approval







Other Business/Adjourn